

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS  
DIVISION II

2023 JAN 25 PM 2:48

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BY KP  
DEPUTY

AT THE SUPERIOR COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION II FOR THE STATE OF  
WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON  
Respondent

v.

Dean Ervin Phillips  
Appellant

No. 5734-2-II

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL  
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, Dean Ervin Phillips, alleged appellant (alleged because he doesn't understand how he qualifies to be a defendant or anything in this military court), have received, on January 6, 2023, and reviewed the opening brief filed by Devon Knowles on November 28, 2022. This document will summarize additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. This court should review this Statement of Additional Grounds when considering this appeal.

Additional Ground 1

By what authority did Jonathan Meyer, Bradley Meagher, James Lawler, Joley O'Rourke, Andrew Toynbe, and Scott Tinney, hereinafter Respondents, use my name to open this account and hold myself as surety, for some obligation, in the first place? Do I have an oath of office? Was I engaged in a regulated commercial activity?

Additional Ground 2

Where is my express written consent to be a part of these proceedings? If the government

requires consent before one can sue, why do the people not also have to give their consent?

Additional Ground 3

Peonage is illegal, involuntary servitude is slavery and unlawful and illegal. Without express written consent by me to be subjected to the will of Respondents, which includes, but not limited to, multiple arrests without a warrant, assault, shackled, transported and caged in a dangerous situation not conducive to my health and well-against my will, is nothing but forced servitude.

Additional Ground 4

I never did waive indictment by grand jury in violation of the rules.

Additional Ground 5

There is no Complaint on the record which in the Federal Rules of criminal and civil procedure are necessary requirements to start any case. Without a Complaint there is no case.

Additional Ground 6

The first Amendment to the Constitution should be considered.

Additional Ground 7

The Second Amendment is not being upheld by these proceedings, in actuality one can view these actions taken by Respondents is merely a means of attempting to disarm a man by calling him a "felon" and thereby creating a situation where said man is defenseless in his own home.

Additional Ground 8

The Fourth Amendment has been violated again and again. In the beginning O'dell showed up at my home, outside his territorial jurisdiction and without any warrant. When an alleged warrant was produced it lacked the necessary requirement of any supporting documentation. The Sheriff's deputies did not have a valid warrant. At no time in any of these proceedings have I ever seen a warrant that met the criteria set forth in the Fourth Amendment.

Additional Ground 9

As the nature of this case is a written document are Copyright Laws enforced?

Additional Ground 10

If the State is a fictional entity then how did it sustain harm, an injury in fact, to obtain standing? No standing, no case.

Additional Ground 11

No harmed party. The allegation is that Patricia Finch somehow sustained a harm but this case has no affidavit from her.

Additional Ground 12

With no complaint and no affidavits of harm how did Respondents have any authority to instigate this case? Already the Prosecutors in this case have refused to prove their authority and the Judge has protected them by not requiring them to prove it which all point to conspiracy to deprive rights under color of law.

Additional Ground 13

Was Officer O'Dell, of Centralia Police Department, considered the one who served process or a witness? Why is he allowed in this case to be both?

Additional Ground 14

Territorial Jurisdiction was not expressly proven since the City of Centralia only can tell its employees what to do and control its property, how does the city have authority over me and my property? If the County can only control its property and employees, how does the County have authority over myself and my property?

Additional Ground 15

Is not Parity required in any case? Since I, a man, am not a legal entity, so not a Person by the definition the State relies upon, how can any action against a man, from a corporate body be considered fair and equitable?

Additional Ground 16

Right to a speedy trial was clearly denied.

Additional Ground 17

At one hearing I had assistance of counsel but Lawler stated in open court that only

attorneys can speak in his court so openly denied my right to counsel. But there is an entire RCW about the Power of Appointment Act which says nothing of the sort. Is the man acting as Judge Lawler incompetent, or corrupt? What other reason could there be?

Additional Ground 18

I have a letter from Jonathan Meyer openly stating that he would not answer any interrogatories or requests for admission. How does he legally not have to answer questions as he attacks me? They openly denied me any discovery which points to lack of due process, fairness, and equity.

Additional Ground 19

Finally, I am not done. I may have forgotten a few grounds to include and may bring the additional grounds up at a later date. This list above is by no means exhaustive of the mistakes made in this obvious sham of proceedings and since Fraud is clearly going on in this case, there are no known statutes of limitations on anything Fraud touches.

DATED this 23rd day of January, 2023.

  
Signature of Alleged Appellant

This document is being sent to the Court of Appeals and to Sara Beigh of Lewis County. It will also be sent via electronic mail to the Court of Appeals, Devon Knowles, and Lewis County appeals, and to Sara Beigh.